

Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Petition for Review - Family Court

CV-24-0078-PR

GUTIERREZ v MERAZ

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: **16-Apr-2024** Archive on: 18-Jun-2034 (planned)
Case Closed: **18-Jun-2024**

Dept/Composition

Side 1. JIM MENDOZA GUTIERREZ, Petitioner/Appellee

(Litigant Group) JIM MENDOZA GUTIERREZ

• Jim Mendoza Gutierrez

Attorneys for: Petitioner/Appellee

Sarah E Collier, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 21716)

Side 2. VANESSA MERAZ, Respondent/Appellant

(Litigant Group) VANESSA MERAZ

• Vanessa Meraz

PRO SE

CASE STATUS

Jun 18, 2024....Case Closed

Jun 18, 2024....Decision Rendered

PREDECESSOR CASE(S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
2 CA	2 CA-CV 22-0021				
PIM	D20183635		Cynthia T Kuhn, Judge on PC Comments: (none)		

CASE DECISION

18-Jun-2024 ORDER

* The Court having granted Appellant's "Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review" and having ordered the petition for review to be filed on or before May 27, 2024, and Appellant not having filed a petition by that date,

Filed: **18-Jun-2024**

Mandate:

Decision Disposition

Dismissed

IT IS ORDERED d

Tracie Lindeman

5 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

1. 16-Apr-2024 FILED: Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Appellant Meraz, Pro Se)
2. 17-Apr-2024 Appellant Meraz, pro se, filed a "Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review" on April 16, 2024. Pursuant to Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion, and the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Appellant's ability to file a motion in compliance with ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by April 26, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)
3. 24-Apr-2024 FILED: Motion for Procedural Order for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review; Certificate of Service (Appellant Meraz, Pro Se)
4. 9-May-2024 A "Motion for Procedural Order for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review" (Appellant Meraz, pro se) having been filed on April 24, 2024,

IT IS ORDERED granting a first extension of time to file the petition for review on or before May 27, 2024. No further extensions of time shall be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. This matter is subject to dismissal if the petition for review is not filed by May 27, 2024. (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)

Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Petition for Review - Family Court

CV-24-0078-PR

GUTIERREZ v MERAZ

5 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

5. 18-Jun-2024 The Court having granted Appellant's "Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review" and having ordered the petition for review to be filed on or before May 27, 2024, and Appellant not having filed a petition by that date,
- IT IS ORDERED dismissing this matter. (Tracie K. Lindeman, Clerk)
-